

Dynamics of the Density Matrix in Contact with a Thermal Bath and the Quantum Master Equation

Takashi Mori^{1*} and Seiji Miyashita^{1,2†}

¹ *Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

² *CREST, JST, 4-1-8 Honcho Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan*

(Received October 3, 2008)

We study the structure of the time evolution of the density matrix in contact with a thermal bath in a standard projection operator scheme. In general, the equation of motions of the density matrix with dissipative effects tends to lead any initial state into a steady state. The reduced density matrix of the system in the steady state is obtained by tracing out the degree of freedom of the thermal bath from the density matrix for the equilibrium state of the total system. This reduced matrix is modified by the interaction, and is different from that of the equilibrium of the system alone. In a commonly used equation of motion of the reduced density matrix, we have three terms, i.e., a term of the quantum mechanical evolution of the system density matrix, a term of the non-Markov evolution due to memory effects, and a term depending on the initial total density matrix. We make clear roles of the three terms by explicit calculations of the contributions of the terms to the steady state density matrix. By making use of the role of each term, the properties of the commonly used quantum master equation are examined. For example, if we do not include the imaginary part of the second term, the quantum master equation leads the reduced density matrix into that of the equilibrium of the system without modification. On the other hand, if we include the imaginary part, the steady state density matrix of the system satisfies the master equation up to the second order of the interaction. This property indicates that, in the representation that diagonalizes the system Hamiltonian, the leading order (the second order) terms of the off-diagonal elements of the steady state solution of the master equation agree with those of the density matrix of the equilibrium state.

KEYWORDS: quantum master equation, projection operator, coarse-grained dynamics, relaxation phenomena

1. Introduction

Recently, it has become important to understand explicit quantum dynamics in many fields. For example, in the so-called single molecular magnets, the quantum dynamics of magnetization has been extensively studied.^{1–10} In these systems, the energy levels are discrete, and the quantum mechanical dynamics of a wave function under a sweep of external field is

*E-mail address: mori@spin.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

†E-mail address: miya@spin.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

described by the combination of adiabatic and non-adiabatic transitions, where the Landau-Zener formula plays an important role. In addition to the pure quantum mechanical dynamic, effects due to contact with a thermal bath also give important contributions. It has been pointed out that the magnetization shows a plateau in the magnetization process under a swept magnetic field.^{6,7,11} To understand this phenomenon, a kind of rate equation for the populations of energy levels⁶ and a quantum master equation⁷ have been studied. It turned out that the appearance of the plateau is a generic phenomenon in systems in which the state changes almost adiabatically with a small inflow of heat from a thermal bath. Quantum master equation approaches have also been used for various properties of the magnetization processes of single molecular magnets.^{8,9} Recently, the coherent driven Rabi oscillation has been observed in V_{15} ,¹⁰ where decoherence processes play important roles. The importance of quantum mechanical dynamics has also been pointed out in electron motion under a time-dependent field,¹² and in various operations related to quantum computing and others.^{13–16} In this system, dissipation due to contact with the environments also play important roles.

To describe relaxation phenomena in quantum dynamics, we may derive the equation of motion of the density matrix of the system using a method of the projection operator.^{17–37} In general, we derive the equation from the Bloch equation of the total system. The total system consists of the system (S), thermal bath (B), and interaction between them (I), the Hamiltonians of which are given by $\mathcal{H}_S$, $\mathcal{H}_I$, and $\mathcal{H}_B$, respectively:

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_S + \lambda \mathcal{H}_I + \mathcal{H}_B, \quad (1)$$

where λ is a constant that controls the strength of the interaction. The dynamics of the density matrix of the total system ρ_T is given by the Bloch equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \rho_T}{\partial t} = [\mathcal{H}_T, \rho_T]. \quad (2)$$

Generally, we assume that the contact with the thermal bath causes dissipation effects, and that the system would relax to the thermal equilibrium state.^{36,37} To describe such processes, we adopt an equation for the time evolution of a reduced density matrix in which the degrees of freedom of the thermal bath are traced out. In general, the equation leads an initial state into a steady state. This steady state should be compatible with the equilibrium of the total system. The reduced density matrix of the system in the steady state is obtained from that of the total system by decimating the degrees of freedom of the thermal bath, and it must be the steady solution of the time evolution of the reduced density matrix. Because of the interaction between the system and the thermal bath, the reduced density matrix of the steady state is modified from that of the equilibrium of the system itself.

The equation obtained by the projection method consists of three terms: a term of the quantum dynamics due to the system Hamiltonian, a term of the non-Markov evolution due to memory effects, and a term depending on the initial total density matrix. We study the

contributions of these three terms to the steady state explicitly. We point out that the third term plays an intrinsic role in the steady state, and that artificial choices for the third term, e.g., a product form of the system part and thermal bath part, would not make the steady state simpler.

Moreover, we discuss the properties of the quantum master equation in the context of the equation of motion of the reduced density matrix. A conventional quantum master equation leads the reduced density matrix into that of the equilibrium of the system itself, while the time evolution of the reduced density matrix leads the reduced density matrix into a modified one owing to the interaction with the thermal bath. The modified one agrees with the density matrix of the system interacting with the bath in the leading order of interaction. Namely, the second order terms of the off-diagonal elements of the solution in the representation that diagonalizes the system Hamiltonian correctly reproduces those of the equilibrium density matrix of the system interacting with the bath. We study the roles of the real and imaginary terms of the second term of the equation of motion of the reduced density matrix, and clarified the difference in the steady state.

2. Formulation

2.1 Equation of the density matrix

First, let us briefly review a standard derivation of an equation for the reduced density matrix of the system ρ_S by tracing out the degree of freedom of the bath from the density matrix of the total system ρ_T :¹⁷

$$\rho_S \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_T, \quad (3)$$

where Tr_B denotes the trace operation for the degree of freedom of the thermal bath. Here, we adopt a projection operator $\mathcal{P}$ to the Hilbert space of the system as

$$\mathcal{P} \rho_T = \rho_B \text{Tr}_B \rho_T, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}' = 1 - \mathcal{P}, \quad (5)$$

where ρ_B is the equilibrium density matrix of the thermal bath. The equation of motion of the reduced density matrix $\mathcal{P} \rho_T$ is given by the following three parts:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P} \rho_T}{\partial t} = \mathcal{P} i \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \rho_T + \mathcal{P} i \mathcal{L} \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-\tau) \mathcal{P}' i \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}' i \mathcal{L} \mathcal{P} \rho_T(\tau) d\tau + \mathcal{P} i \mathcal{L} e^{(t-t_0) \mathcal{P}' i \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0), \quad (6)$$

where

$$i \mathcal{L} \rho_T \equiv \frac{1}{i \hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S + \lambda \mathcal{H}_I + \mathcal{H}_B, \rho_T]. \quad (7)$$

This equation consists of three terms: the first term denotes the quantum dynamics due to the system Hamiltonian, the second term represents the non-Markov evolution due to memory

effects, and the last term depends on the initial total density matrix $\rho_T(t_0)$. Here it should be noted that this equation is part of the exact equations for the total density matrix together with the equation of $\mathcal{P}'\rho_T$. Thus, we cannot regard this relation as an equation of motion of ρ_S , but a relation concerning ρ_S in terms of $\rho_T(t_0)$.

Here, we study cases with a weak coupling, and consider the dynamics up to the second order of λ . Then eq. (6) is expressed in the form (see Appendix A)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_S}{\partial t} = & \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, \rho_S] + \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I \int_{t_0}^t e^{(t-\tau)i\mathcal{L}_0} i\mathcal{L}_I \rho_B \rho_S(\tau) d\tau \\ & + \lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + \lambda^2 \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx \mathcal{P}' e^{-x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \rho_T \equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_0, \rho_T], \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_I \rho_T \equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_I, \rho_T]. \quad (9)$$

Now, for the interaction, we adopt the form

$$\mathcal{H}_I = XY, \quad (10)$$

where X is an operator of the system and Y is an operator of the thermal bath. It is straightforward to extend eq. (10) into a more general form consisting of the sum of interactions ($\sum_i X_i Y_i$).

By the standard way, we derive an explicit form of the equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_S}{\partial t} = & \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, \rho_S] \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \int_0^{t-t_0} \left(X e^{-iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} X \rho_S(t-u) e^{iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} \Psi(u) - X e^{-iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} \rho_S(t-u) X e^{iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} \Psi(-u) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{-iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} X \rho_S(t-u) e^{iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} X \Psi(u) + e^{-iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} \rho_S(t-u) X e^{iu\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} X \Psi(-u) \right) du \\ & + \lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + \lambda^2 \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx \mathcal{P}' e^{-x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here,

$$X(t) = e^{it\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} X e^{-it\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar}. \quad (12)$$

Because the second term on the right-hand side has the coefficient λ^2 , we used the replacement²⁷

$$\rho_S(t) = e^{-(t-\tau)i\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar} \rho_S(\tau) e^{(t-\tau)i\mathcal{H}_S/\hbar}. \quad (13)$$

Then, we have the following time-convolutionless form:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_S}{\partial t} = L^{(2)}(\rho_S) \equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, \rho_S]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(\frac{\lambda}{i\hbar} \right)^2 \text{Tr}_B \int_0^{t-t_0} (XX(-u)\rho_S(t)\Psi(u) - X\rho_S(t)X(-u)\Psi(-u)) \\
& \quad - X(-u)\rho_S(t)X\Psi(u) + \rho_S(t)X(-u)X\Psi(-u)) du \\
& + \lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + \lambda^2 \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx \mathcal{P}' e^{-x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$\Psi(t)$ is the autocorrelation function of Y in the thermal bath expressed as

$$\Psi(t) = \text{Tr}_B e^{it\mathcal{H}_B/\hbar} Y e^{-it\mathcal{H}_B/\hbar} Y e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B} / Z_B = \langle Y(t)Y \rangle_B, \tag{15}$$

where

$$Z_B = \text{Tr}_B e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B}, \tag{16}$$

and $\langle \cdots \rangle_B$ denotes the average in the equilibrium state of the thermal bath.

As natural properties of the thermal bath, we assume the following properties of the correlation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(t) = 0, \tag{17}$$

and the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger relation

$$\Psi(t) = \Psi(-t - i\hbar\beta). \tag{18}$$

Because the equilibrium state of the total system expressed as

$$\rho_T^{\text{eq}} = \frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_T}}{Z}, \quad Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_T} \tag{19}$$

is the steady state of the time evolution

$$\frac{\partial \rho_T^{\text{eq}}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_T, e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_T} / Z] = 0, \tag{20}$$

the reduced density matrix of the system

$$\rho_S^{\text{eq}} \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_T^{\text{eq}}. \tag{21}$$

must be the steady state of the time evolution (14). In the following, we study the contributions to the time evolution of ρ_S^{eq} from the three parts up to the second order of λ , and explicitly study how eq.(20) holds.

Here, let us briefly study the relation between the present way of discussion and the time-convolutionless (TCL) formalism. In order to study non-Markov noise, the TCL formalism has been introduced.^{26,27} The time-convolution term in eq. (8) was transformed into the TCL form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_S^{(2)}(t) = i\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\rho_S^{(2)}(t) - \Psi(t)\rho_S^{(2)}(t) + i\mathcal{P}\mathcal{L}\theta(t)e^{i\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{L}t}\mathcal{P}'\rho_T(0), \tag{22}$$

where

$$\Psi(t) = i \left\langle \mathcal{L}_I \frac{\mathcal{P}'\{S(t)R(-t) - 1\}}{1 + \mathcal{P}'\{S(t)R(-t) - 1\}} \right\rangle_B \tag{23}$$

with

$$R(t) = \exp_{\leftarrow} \left[i \int_0^t d\tau e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0\tau} \mathcal{L}_I e^{i\mathcal{L}_0\tau} \right] \quad (24)$$

and

$$S(t) = \exp_{\rightarrow} \left[i \int_0^t d\tau \mathcal{P}' e^{i\mathcal{L}_0\tau} \mathcal{L}_I e^{-i\mathcal{L}_0\tau} \mathcal{P}' \right]. \quad (25)$$

Here, $\exp_{\leftarrow}$ and $\exp_{\rightarrow}$ denote the time-ordered exponential functions. Up to the second order of λ , this formula gives eq. (14), which has the TCL form. Thus, the argument in the present paper is for the TCL form. If we study the time-convolution form eq. (11), we have a difference on the order of λ^4 . Up to the order of λ^2 , they have the same form. Therefore, the present argument up to the order of λ^2 is good for both cases. However, as we will discuss in the next section, the solution of the quantum master equation has ambiguity on the order of λ^2 . Thus, the choice of higher-order terms gives different solutions. This point will be studied in the next section.

2.2 Reduced density matrix for the equilibrium state

First, we obtain the reduced density matrix of the system for the equilibrium state up to the second order of λ . We expand ρ_T^{eq} as

$$\rho_T^{\text{eq}} = \frac{e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}}{Z'} \left(1 - \beta \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \right. \\ \left. + \beta^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} e^{y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} + O(\lambda^3) \right), \quad (26)$$

where $Z' = Z_S Z_B - \lambda a + \lambda^2 b$ with $Z_S = \text{Tr}_S e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}$, $Z_B = \text{Tr}_B e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B}$,

$$a = \text{Tr}_B \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$b = \text{Tr}_B \beta^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} e^{y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}, \quad (28)$$

where Tr_S is the trace operation of the degree of freedom of the system. We may include the average of the interaction $\text{Tr} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B} / Z_B$ into the system Hamiltonian, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_S + \text{Tr}_B \mathcal{H}_I e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B} / Z_B$, and then we can generally assume that

$$\text{Tr} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B} = 0. \quad (29)$$

In this case,

$$a = 0, \quad (30)$$

and thus, up to the second order of λ , the ρ_T^{eq} is expressed in the following form:

$$\rho_T^{\text{eq}} = \frac{e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}}{Z_S Z_B} \left(1 - \lambda^2 b - \beta \lambda \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \right. \\ \left. + \beta^2 \lambda^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} e^{y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \right) + O(\lambda^3). \quad (31)$$

2.3 Steady state

Now, we substitute eq. (31) into eq. (14). The terms on the order of $O(\lambda^2)$ from the first, second, and third terms on the right-hand side of eq. (14) are given by

$$\Delta_1 \equiv \frac{\beta\lambda^2}{i\hbar} \frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}}{Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy [\mathcal{H}_S, X(-i\hbar\beta x)X(-i\hbar\beta y)] \Psi(i\hbar\beta(x-y)), \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 \equiv & \left(\frac{\lambda}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \frac{1}{Z_S} \int_0^{t-t_0} \left(XX(-u)e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}\Psi(u) - Xe^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}X(-u)\Psi(-u) \right. \\ & \left. - X(-u)e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}X\Psi(u) + e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}X(-u)X\Psi(-u) \right) du \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\Delta_3 \equiv \frac{-\beta\lambda^2}{i\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \left[X, e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}X(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x) \right] \Psi(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x), \quad (34)$$

respectively (see Appendix A).

As we have proven in Appendix B, we find

$$\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 0. \quad (35)$$

Namely, up to the second order of λ , the equilibrium density matrix of the system $\rho_T^{\text{eq}(2)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}\rho_T^{\text{eq}(2)} = 0. \quad (36)$$

Thus, we explicitly confirmed that the steady state of the equation of motion, eq. (14), is given by the equilibrium state of the system under the influence of the interaction with the thermal bath, and that it differs from the equilibrium state of the system alone:

$$\rho_S^{\text{eq}0} \equiv e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}/Z_S. \quad (37)$$

It should be noted that the third term gives a non zero contribution Δ_3 to maintain the modified steady state, eq. (36). Often, the initial density matrix is given by a product of the form $\rho_T(0) = \rho_S(0)\rho_B$, where the contribution of the third term is zero. In such cases, eq. (35) is not satisfied. This means that the steady state of the total system is not given by a product state. Whenever we obtain a reduced density matrix for the steady state of the system, information on the total density matrix is lost, and then eq. (14) holds no more. This apparent discrepancy is attributed to the fact that eq. (14) does not have a closed form for ρ_S . As far as we are concerned with the reduced density matrix, we may say that the density matrix relaxes to ρ_S^{eq} , but this does not mean the total density matrix relaxes to $\rho_T = \rho_S^{\text{eq}}\rho_B$.

We assumed that the autocorrelation function in the bath decays to zero in a long time limit, i.e., eq. (17). Thus, in a long time compared to the relaxation time of the autocorrelation function, we may ignore the third term. Then, eq. (14) apparently has a closed form, and we may regard it as an equation of motion of the reduced density matrix. Usually, we derive a quantum master equation in this limit. However, we must be careful with the condition to

ignore the third term. For example, the master equation composed only of the first and second terms cannot be applied to the time evolution within the relaxation time of the thermal bath.

3. Master Equation for the Equilibrium

Now, we consider relation between conventional quantum master equations and eq. (14). When we study a relaxation process for the equilibrium of the system (S), we usually use a kind of quantum master equation, which is a closed equation of motion of the density matrix of the system. In eq. (14), the third term disappears in the limit $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ because of eq. (17). We can regard the remaining equation as an equation of motion of ρ_S . In this way, we can derive a quantum master equation. For example, we used the following compact form of the master equation to study several time dependences of the quantum state.³⁵

$$\frac{\partial \rho_S}{\partial t} = L_0^{(2)}(\rho_S) \equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, \rho_S] - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\hbar}\right)^2 \left(X R \rho_S(t) - R \rho_S(t) X - X \rho_S(t) R^\dagger + \rho_S(t) R^\dagger X \right). \quad (38)$$

Here, the matrix R is defined by the matrix elements given by

$$R_{lm} = \frac{1}{\hbar} X_{lm} \Psi \left(\frac{E_l - E_m}{\hbar} \right) = \frac{1}{\hbar} X_{lm} \frac{I \left(\frac{E_l - E_m}{\hbar} \right) - I \left(\frac{E_m - E_l}{\hbar} \right)}{e^{\beta(E_l - E_m)} - 1}, \quad (39)$$

where we use the basis that diagonalizes the system Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_S$

$$\mathcal{H}_S |m\rangle = E_m |m\rangle. \quad (40)$$

$I(\omega)$ is given by the form

$$I(\omega) = \theta(\omega) I_0(\omega) \quad (41)$$

with a function $I_0(\omega)$. For the arbitrary autocorrelation function $\Psi(t)$, which satisfies the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger relation (18), we can assign eq. (39).

It is easy to verify that the equilibrium of the system gives the steady state of this equation:

$$L_0^{(2)}(\rho_S^{\text{eq}0}) = 0. \quad (42)$$

In the steady state of the above master equation, no effects of the interaction with the thermal bath do appear, i.e., eq. (42), although they exist in eq. (36). This property (42) originates from the fact that we neglected the contribution of the principal value integral coming from the relation

$$\int_0^\infty e^{i\nu t} dt = \pi \delta(\nu) + \text{P} \frac{i}{\nu}. \quad (43)$$

in the evaluation of the second term of eq. (14) when we derived eq. (38).³⁵

Now let us study the contribution of the principal value integral. In the previous section, we have shown that $\rho_T^{\text{eq}(2)}$, which includes the effects of the interaction with the thermal bath, is the steady state of the time evolution eq. (14). For it, the three contributions canceled out $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 0$ and eq. (36) held. Taking into account the property (17), the third term

disappears ($\Delta_3 = 0$) in the limit $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus, in this limit, we study a quantum master equation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_S}{\partial t} = L_{\text{RG}}^{(2)}(\rho_S) &\equiv \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, \rho_S] \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty (XX(-u)\rho_S(t)\Psi(u) - X\rho_S(t)X(-u)\Psi(-u)) \\ &- X(-u)\rho_S(t)X\Psi(u) + \rho_S(t)X(-u)X\Psi(-u)) du. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here, we have

$$\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 0. \quad (45)$$

The contribution of the second term Δ_2 consists of two parts: one from the term $\pi\delta(\nu)$, which we call “the real part” ($\Delta_{2\text{R}}$), and one from the principal value integral, which we call “the imaginary part” ($\Delta_{2\text{I}}$):

$$\Delta_2 = \Delta_{2\text{R}} + \Delta_{2\text{I}}. \quad (46)$$

In Appendix C, we show that the contribution of the principal value integral $\Delta_{2\text{I}}$ is the same as $-\Delta_1$:

$$\Delta_1 = -\Delta_{2\text{I}} \quad (47)$$

up to the order λ^2 . Because the equilibrium density matrix of the system itself commutes with $\mathcal{H}_S$, the extra dynamics of the first term of the time evolution equation (14), i.e., Δ_1 , is due to the interaction with the thermal bath. This motion is canceled by $\Delta_{2\text{I}}$.

Thus, we conclude that the imaginary part gives the renormalization of Hamiltonian of the system. This effect has been pointed out as the Lamb shift in the driven damped oscillator system.¹⁸ We can take into account the renormalization of the system Hamiltonian by adopting the contribution of the principal value integral.

Now, we consider types of master equations. We often need a quantum master equation that causes the relaxation to the equilibrium of the system. In such cases, we can have a desirable equation by discarding the principal value part. On the other hand, if we need to discuss the effect of the contact with the bath, we have to choose the master equation including the imaginary part. We have to choose the types according to the purpose of the study.

It should be noted that even if the equation of motion is correct up to the order of λ^2 , the diagonal part of the solution has ambiguity on the order of λ^2 . Let ρ_{st} be the solution of the master equation (44)

$$L_{\text{RG}}^{(2)}(\rho_{\text{st}}) = 0. \quad (48)$$

If we add the arbitrary traceless diagonal operator W to ρ_{st} in the form

$$\rho' = \rho_{\text{st}} + \lambda^2 W, \quad \text{Tr} W = 0, \quad (49)$$

eq. (44) still holds up to the order of λ^2 , because for any traceless diagonal operator W

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathcal{H}_S, W] = 0, \quad (50)$$

and the contribution of $\lambda^2 W$ to Δ_2 is on the order of λ^4 . Therefore, although $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$ satisfies eq. (44) up to the order of λ^2 , it does not necessarily agree with ρ_{st} on the order of λ^2 . The diagonal elements of ρ_{st} and $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$ coincide in the 0th order of λ , and their off-diagonal elements agree with each other on the order of λ^2 , which is the leading order. The part of order of λ^2 of the diagonal elements is related to the $O(\lambda^2)$ terms of off-diagonal elements. Therefore, the $O(\lambda^2)$ term of the steady solution of the equation depends on the $O(\lambda^4)$ term of the equation. The choice of TC (eq. (11)) or TCL (eq. (14)) gives different $O(\lambda^4)$ terms, and thus they give different solutions on the order of λ^2 . We may compare $L_{\text{RG}}^{(2)}(\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)})$ with that obtained in the TC formalism (i.e., from eq. (11)). However, the evaluation of the TC formalism requires integration and thus it is difficult to estimate it explicitly.

Finally, let us consider the relationship between eqs. (14) and (38). Although the reduced density matrices such as $\rho_S^{\text{eq}0}$ and $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$ are the solutions of the master equation, any decoupled initial condition in the form $\rho_S^{\text{eq}0} \rho_B$ cannot satisfy eq. (14) as we have discussed in the previous section. Thus, it is a difficult problem to relate the master equation (38) and the original relation, eq. (14). Here, we may say that in the master equation we adopt the same mechanism of relaxation as that in eq. (14), but they are essentially different types of equations.

4. Summary and Discussion

We have studied the dynamics of the coarse-grained density matrix ρ_S . The interaction between the system and the thermal bath causes a modification from the equilibrium density matrix of the system itself. We explicitly calculated the roles of the three terms of the equation of motion (14) in the steady state. We pointed out that the third term expressing the contribution of the initial condition plays an important role, and that we cannot ignore it in the study of the stationary state.

It is often mentioned that the diagonal element of $\mathcal{L}(\rho_S^{\text{eq}0})$ is zero up to the second order of λ . Although this is true, it does not help in the study of the steady (equilibrium) state of the time evolution. As time goes, the time evolution given by eq. (14) always brings the state to $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$, but not to $\rho_S^{\text{eq}0}$ ($= e^{-\beta_S}/Z_S$). We also pointed out that eq. (14), or more generally eq. (6), cannot be regarded as an equation of motion of ρ_S , but it is part of the equations of motion for the total density matrix.

The relationship between eq. (14) and a conventional master equation was also discussed. Generally, a master equation is regarded as an equation obtained in the limit of $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ in eq. (14). There, the roles of the real part (delta function) and imaginary part (principal value integral) of eq. (43) were clarified. The former drives the density matrix to that of the equilibrium of the system itself, and the latter modifies the system Hamiltonian due to the

contact with the bath. It should be noted that the latter effect is not due to the thermal average of the interaction part. This average has been taken into account for the system Hamiltonian by eq. (29). Thus, the modification due to the principal value integral is a kind of renormalization due to a dynamical process such as the Lamb shift.

We also pointed out that although $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$ satisfies eq. (44) up to the order of λ^2 , it does not necessarily agree with the solution of the equation ρ_{st} on the order of λ^2 . What we can say is the following: The diagonal elements of ρ_{st} and $\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}$ coincide on the 0th order of λ , and their off-diagonal elements agree with each other on the order of λ^2 , which is the leading order.

Although the facts that we have derived are rather trivial, we hope that the explicit classification of the roles will clarify the structure of equations for the dissipative quantum dynamics.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Chikako Uchiyama, Dr. Mizuhiro Saeki, and also Dr. Keiji Saito for their valuable discussion. This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas “Physics of new quantum phases in superclean materials” and also by the Next Generation Super Computer Project, Nanoscience Program of MEXT.

Appendix A:

The term of order $O(\lambda^2)$ from the first term on the right-hand side of eq. (14) is obtained by substituting the $O(\lambda^2)$ term of eq. (31):

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathcal{H}_S, \beta^2 \frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}}{Z_S} \frac{\text{Tr}_B e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B}}{Z_B} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S+\mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S+\mathcal{H}_B)} e^{y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S+\mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S+\mathcal{H}_B)}]. \quad (\text{A}\cdot 1)$$

If we substitute $\mathcal{H}_I = XY$ (eq. (10)), we directly obtain Δ_1 in eq. (32).

Next, the term of order $O(\lambda^2)$ from the second term of eq. (31), which gives Δ_2 in eq. (33), is obtained by replacing ρ_S in the second term of eq. (14) with $e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}/Z_S$.

Finally, we consider the contribution of the third term. The third term on the right-hand side of eq. (6) is expressed by

$$\lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{P}' + \lambda(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}'} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0). \quad (\text{A}\cdot 2)$$

Using the formula

$$e^{A+\lambda B} = e^A \left(1 + \lambda \int_0^1 dx e^{-Ax} B e^{Ax} \right) + O(\lambda^2) \quad (\text{A}\cdot 3)$$

for any set of operators A and B, the third term (eq. (A.2)) up to the second order of λ is

given by

$$\lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{P}'} \left(1 + \lambda \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx e^{-x(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{P}'} \mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{P}'} \right) \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + O(\lambda^3). \quad (\text{A}\cdot 4)$$

Using the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_B \mathcal{P} &= \text{Tr}_B \\ \mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{P}' &= \mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0 \\ e^{(t-t_0)\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_0} &= \mathcal{P}' e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \\ \mathcal{P} i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' &= \mathcal{P} i\mathcal{L}_I \quad (\text{from eq. (29)}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A}\cdot 5)$$

eq. (A·4) becomes

$$\lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \left(1 + \lambda \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx \mathcal{P}' e^{-x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \right) \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + O(\lambda^3). \quad (\text{A}\cdot 6)$$

Because of the relation

$$\mathcal{P}' i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' = -\mathcal{P} i\mathcal{L}_I + i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}', \quad (\text{A}\cdot 7)$$

for any n ,

$$\mathcal{P}' \mathcal{L}_0^n \mathcal{P} = 0 \quad (\text{A}\cdot 8)$$

holds. Thus, eq. (A·6) becomes

$$\lambda \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0) + \lambda^2 \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \int_0^1 (t-t_0) dx \mathcal{P}' e^{-x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} i\mathcal{L}_I \mathcal{P}' e^{x(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' \rho_T(t_0). \quad (\text{A}\cdot 9)$$

Now, we substitute $\rho_T(t_0)$ of the form of eq. (31) into eq. (A·9). In the second term, we take only the 0-th order of λ , and then the second term disappears because

$$\mathcal{P}' e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} = 0. \quad (\text{A}\cdot 10)$$

In the first term, the term of the first order of λ contributes to the $O(\lambda^2)$ term as

$$-\frac{\lambda^2 \beta}{Z_S Z_B} \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} \mathcal{P}' e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}. \quad (\text{A}\cdot 11)$$

Since $\mathcal{P} e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B} \mathcal{H}_I = 0$,

$$-\frac{\lambda^2 \beta}{Z_S Z_B} \text{Tr}_B i\mathcal{L}_I e^{(t-t_0)i\mathcal{L}_0} e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}. \quad (\text{A}\cdot 12)$$

We substitute $\mathcal{H}_I = XY$, and then eq. (A·12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\lambda^2 \beta}{i\hbar Z_S Z_B} \text{Tr}_B [XY, e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}] \int_0^1 dx X(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x) Y(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x) \\ &= -\frac{\lambda^2 \beta}{i\hbar} \int_0^1 dx [X, \frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_S}}{Z_S} X(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x)] \text{Tr}_B \left(\frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B}}{Z_B} Y(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x) Y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A}\cdot 13)$$

Noting that

$$\text{Tr}_B \frac{e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_B}}{Z_B} Y(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x) Y = \Psi(-t+t_0-i\hbar\beta x), \quad (\text{A}\cdot 14)$$

eq. (A·13) corresponds to eq. eq. ((34)).

Appendix B:

From now on, we derive

$$L^{(2)}(\rho_S^{\text{eq}(2)}) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 = 0. \quad (\text{B}\cdot 1)$$

In order to prove eq. (B·1), first we prove

$$(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3)_{t=t_0} = 0, \quad (\text{B}\cdot 2)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3) = 0. \quad (\text{B}\cdot 3)$$

At $t = t_0$,

$$\Delta_2 = 0, \quad (\text{B}\cdot 4)$$

$$\Delta_3 = -\frac{\lambda^2 \beta}{i\hbar Z_S Z_B} \text{Tr}_B[\mathcal{H}_I, e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \int_0^1 dx e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}]. \quad (\text{B}\cdot 5)$$

Here, it should be noted that Δ_1 is time-independent and Δ_3 is equal to eq. (A·12). Now we reform Δ_3 using the relation

$$[A, e^B \int_0^1 dx e^{-Bx} A e^{Bx}] + [B, e^B \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{-Bx} A e^{Bx} e^{-By} A e^{By}] = 0, \quad (\text{B}\cdot 6)$$

which is derived from the second order of λ in the expansion of

$$[\lambda A + B, e^{\lambda A + B}] = 0, \quad (\text{B}\cdot 7)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3(t = t_0) &= \frac{\beta^2 \lambda^2}{i\hbar Z_S Z_B} \text{Tr}_B[\mathcal{H}_S, \\ &e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy e^{x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-x\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} e^{y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)} \mathcal{H}_I e^{-y\beta(\mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{H}_B)}] \\ &= -\Delta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B}\cdot 8)$$

Therefore, eq. (B·2) is proved.

Next, with a straightforward calculation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Delta_3 &= -\frac{\beta \lambda^2}{i\hbar} \int_0^1 dx \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ [X, \frac{e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S}}{Z_S} X(-t + t_0 - i\hbar \beta x)] \Psi(-t + t_0 - i\hbar \beta x) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\beta \lambda^2}{i\hbar} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{i\hbar \beta} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ [X, \frac{e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S}}{Z_S} X(-t + t_0 - i\hbar \beta x)] \Psi(-t + t_0 - i\hbar \beta x) \right\} \\ &= -\left(\frac{\lambda}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \frac{1}{Z_S} \left\{ [X, X(-t + t_0) e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S}] \Psi(t - t_0) - [X, e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S} X(-t + t_0)] \Psi(-t + t_0) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \Delta_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B}\cdot 9)$$

where we used the KMS relation (18). Thus, we proved eq. (B·3).

Until now, we assumed the form $\mathcal{H}_I = XY$, but it is not necessary to restrict this form of $\mathcal{H}_I$ to show eq. (B·1).

Appendix C:

In this appendix, we prove that the principal value integral gives the λ^2 term in the steady state of the time evolution equation (14) when $t_0 = -\infty$, which is the case of the quantum master equation in the reference.³⁵ We put

$$\hat{\Psi}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega t} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

in the second term of eq. (14) and use eq. (43). The contribution of the principal value integral is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^2}{i\hbar^2} \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{l,m} \left[\frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{lm}} X_{kl} X_{lm} \rho_{mn}(t) + \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{nm}} X_{kl} \rho_{lm}(t) X_{mn} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{lm}} \rho_{km}(t) X_{ml} X_{ln} - \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{kl}} X_{kl} \rho_{lm}(t) X_{mn} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

where

$$\omega_{lm} \equiv \frac{E_l - E_m}{\hbar}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

If we substitute $\rho_S(t) = e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S} / Z_S$, eq. (C.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^2}{i\hbar^2 Z_S} \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_l \left[\frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{ln}} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n} + \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{nl}} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_l} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{lk}} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_k} - \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{kl}} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_l} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

From eq. (C.1), we obtain

$$\text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{ln}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \Psi(t) \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega - \omega_{ln}}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Since

$$\text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega} = \begin{cases} -i\pi & (t > 0) \\ i\pi & (t < 0) \end{cases}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\omega)}{\omega - \omega_{ln}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln} t} \cdot i\pi + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} dt \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln} t} \cdot (-i\pi) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_0^{\infty} dt (\Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln} t} - \Psi(t - i\hbar\beta) e^{i\omega_{ln} t}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Therefore, the first term of eq. (C.4) is

$$A_1 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 Z_S} \int_0^{\infty} dt \sum_l (\Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln} t} - \Psi(t - i\hbar\beta) e^{i\omega_{ln} t}) X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n}. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

If we assume eq. (17), we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} dt \Psi(t - i\hbar\beta) e^{i\omega_{ln} t} = \int_0^{\infty} dt \Psi(t) e^{i\omega_{ln} t} e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_{ln}} + i\hbar\beta \int_0^1 dx \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x) e^{\beta \hbar \omega_{ln} x} e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_{ln}} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

by changing the path of integral. Therefore,

$$A_1 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 Z_S} \int_0^\infty dt \sum_l \left\{ \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln}t} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n} - \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{nl}t} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_l} \right\} \\ + \frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{2\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \sum_l \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x) e^{\beta(E_l - E_n)x} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_l}. \quad (\text{C}\cdot 10)$$

Similarly, the second term of eq. (C·4) is expressed as

$$A_2 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 Z_S} \int_0^\infty dt \sum_l \left\{ \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{nl}t} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_l} - \Psi(t) e^{-i\omega_{ln}t} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n} \right\} \\ + \frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{2\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \sum_l \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x) e^{\beta(E_n - E_k)x} X_{kl} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n}. \quad (\text{C}\cdot 11)$$

Therefore, the contribution of the first and second terms of eq. (C·4) is

$$A_1 + A_2 = \frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \sum_l \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x) X_{kl} e^{-\beta E_l} e^{\beta E_l x} X_{ln} e^{-\beta E_n x} \\ = \frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \left(X e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S} X(-i\hbar\beta x) \right)_{kn} \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x). \quad (\text{C}\cdot 12)$$

Similarly, the contributions of the third and fourth terms of eq. (C·4) are

$$A_3 + A_4 = -\frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx \left(e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S} X(-i\hbar\beta x) X \right)_{kn} \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x). \quad (\text{C}\cdot 13)$$

After all, the contribution of the principal value integral is

$$A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 = \frac{i\beta\lambda^2}{\hbar Z_S} \int_0^1 dx [X, e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_S} X(-i\hbar\beta x)] \Psi(-i\hbar\beta x), \quad (\text{C}\cdot 14)$$

which is the same as $\Delta_3(t = t_0)$.

Because of eqs. (B·3) and (B·4), we found that $\Delta_3(t = t_0) = -\Delta_1$. Taking into account that Δ_1 does not depend on t_0 , we conclude that the contribution of the principal value integral A in the limit $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ is the same as $-\Delta_1$.

References

- 1) L. Thomas, F. Lioni, R. Ballou, R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, and B. Barbara: *Nature* **383** (1996) 145.
- 2) S. Miyashita: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **64** (1995) 3207; *ibid.*, **65** (1996) 2734.
- 3) H. De Raedt, S. Miyashita, and K. Saito: *Phys. Rev. B* **56** (1997) 2734.
- 4) K. Saito, S. Miyashita, and H. de Raedt: *Phys. Rev. B* **60** (1999) 14553.
- 5) W. Wernsdorfer and R. Sessoli: *Science* **284** (1999) 233.
- 6) I. Chiorescu, W. Wernsdorfer, A. Müller, H. Bögge, and B. Barbara: *Phys. Phys. Lett.* **84** (2000) 3454.
- 7) K. Saito and S. Miyashita: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70** (2001) 3385 .
- 8) Rousochatzakis, Y. Ajiro, H. Mitamura, P. Kogerler, and M. Luban: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 147204.
- 9) K.-Y. Choi, Yasuhiro H. Matsuda, and Hiroyuki Nojiri: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 107202.
- 10) S. Bertaina, S. Gambarelli, T. Mitra, B. Tsukerblat, A. Müller, and B. Barbara: *Nature* **453** (2008) 203.
- 11) Y. Shapira, M. T. Liu, S. Foner, C. E. Dube, and P. J. Bonitatebus: *Phys. Rev. B* **59** (1999) 1046.
- 12) T. Oka and H. Aoki: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 137601.
- 13) Y. Nakamura, Y. A. Pashkin, and J. S. Tsai: *Nature* **398** (1999) 786.
- 14) I. L. Chuang, N. Gershenfeld, and M. Kubinec: *Phys. Rev. Letters* **81** (1998) 3408.
- 15) M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chung: *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- 16) C. Uchiyama and M. Aihara: *Phys. Rev. A* **68** (2003) 052302.
- 17) R. Kubo, M. Toda and N. Hashitsume: *Statistical Physics II*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
- 18) W. H. Lousell: *Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation* (Wiley, New York, 1973).
- 19) F. Bloch: *Phys. Rev.* **105** (1957) 1206.
- 20) R. Kubo and K. Tomita: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **9** (1954) 888.
- 21) S. Nakajima: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **20** (1958) 987.
- 22) R. Zwanzig: *J. Chem Phys.* **33** (1960) 1338.
- 23) A. G. Redfield: *Adv. Magn. Reson.* **1** (1965) 1.
- 24) W. Weidlich and F. Haake: *Z. Phys.* **185** (1965) 30.
- 25) H. Mori: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33** (1965) 423.
- 26) M. Tokuyama and H. Mori: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **55** (1976) 411.
- 27) N. Hashitsume, F. Shibata, and M. Shingu: *J. Stat. Phys.* **17** (1977) 155.
- 28) F. Shibata, Y. Takahashi, and N. Hashitsume: *J. Stat Phys.* **17** (1977) 171.
- 29) T. Arimitsu and H. Umezawa: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **77** (1987) 32.
- 30) M. Saeki: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **115** (2006) 1.
- 31) M. Saeki: *Physica* **387** (1998) 1827.
- 32) S. Miyashita, H. Ezaki, and E. Hanamura: *Phys. Rev. A* **57** (1998) 2048.
- 33) I. Knezevic and D. K. Ferry: *Phys. Rev. E* **66** (2003) 016131.
- 34) I. Knezevic and D. K. Ferry: *Phys. Rev. A* **69** (2004) 012104.
- 35) K. Saito, S. Takesue, and S. Miyashita: *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, (2000) 2397.
- 36) S. Tasaki, K. Yuasa, P. Facchi, G. Kimura, H. Nakazato, I. Ohba, and S. Pascazio: *Annals of Physics* **322** (2007) 631.

- 37) K. Yuasa, S. Tasaki, P. Facchi, G. Kimura, H. Nakazato, I. Ohba, and S. Pascazio: *Annals of Physics* **322** (2007) 657.